## Perceptual decision making unfolds in a processing cascade within and across brain regions NYU

Laura Gwilliams<sup>1,2</sup>, Jean-Rémi King<sup>3,1</sup>

4

New York University<sup>1</sup>; NYU Abu Dhabi<sup>2</sup>; Frankfurt Institute for Advance Studies<sup>3</sup>

## Introduction

- Transforming environmental input (e.g. a pixelated screen) into a stable percept (e.g. recognising one's friend) is a process of perceptual decision making
- Neural activity ramps up in proportion to the evidence in favour of the ultimate selection, fed from the output of lower-level sensory regions<sup>1,2</sup>
- This previous evidence primarily comes from singleunit recordings in monkeys, which does not provide insight into the macro-level architecture
- Here we probe the computations and representations utilised across the whole human brain, and compare these to a pre-trained deep neural network optimised for image captioning

### What neural architecture underlies perceptual decision making?

2a **Experimental Design** 

# ЧНННННН

- 17 participants
- letter/digit discrimination
- 306-channel MEG
- 1960 trials

1

Stimuli designed to be orthogonal on six variables of interest





## Neural Network

- Same stimuli input into pre-trained DNN VGG19<sup>3</sup>
- Activity in each layer projected into 306-dimensional

## Human Brain

#### **Hierarchy of representations**

- Features of the stimulus are decodable in a cascade: sequentially activated and maintained in parallel.
- Each feature is supported by a different brain region



Suggests that: the system continuously feeds the output of lower-level computations to higher stages

## Sensory evidences accumulates to categorical decision

Neural responses first modulated relative to stimulus evidence, then match subjective experience



## Neural Network

5

#### DNN architecture is similar

Decoding stimulus features DNN activity revealed a cascade pattern, and similar decoding accuracy obtained on the human MEG data



• Suggests that: the cascade architecture is a robust computational strategy, common to both the biological and the artificial visual system

## **DNN** representation is different

Letters and digits are separable from activity in the last layer (89%); however, representations are not linearly or categorical, unlike the human observer



- space (equivalent to the MEG sensors)
- 17 random projections used, mirroring the distribution over subjects



## Analysis

3

- MVPA applied at each millisecond (t) across the 306 sensors (s) for each epoch (e)
- Decoding scores computed within subjects/ projections, and then tested for significance across subjects with cluster-corrected statistics (p < .05)





#### The architecture permits continuous feedback to/from all computations

- The brain processes sensory features via multiple parallel cascades
- Neural activity moves across and within brain regions to support each stimulus feature



## Conclusion

- Both biological and artificial neural networks converge on a cascade architecture
- The DNN represents information differently from the human brain
- Activation patterns evolve within and across brain regions • The brain processes stimulus features in multiple parallel hierarchical cascades

Funding: G1001 NYUAD Institute (LG); European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie Grant Agreement No. 660086; Bettencourt-Schueller Foundation & the Philippe Foundation (JRK)

#### References

6

[1] Heekeren, H.R., S. Marrett, and L.G. Ungerleider, The neural systems that mediate human perceptual decision making. Nat Rev Neurosci, 2008. 9(6): p. 467-79

[2] Shadlen, M.N., et al., A computational analysis of the relationship between neuronal and behavioral responses to visual motion. Journal of Neuroscience, 1996. 16(4): p. 1486-1510.

[3 Simonyan, K., & Zisserman, A. (2014). Very deep convolutional networks for large-scale image recognition. arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.1556.